

## **MAPPING FEMINICIDE**

Helena Suárez Val

Centre for Interdisciplinary Methodologies, University of Warwick

### **Abstract**

Femicide names the gender-related violent deaths of women, the tip of the iceberg in a continuum of violence that is “terrorising women” in the Americas (Fregoso and Bejarano 2010). Latin America and the Caribbean has been named “the most violent [region] in the world for women” (UNDP and UN Women 2017) and feminist activists have been responding to this ongoing crisis by intensifying activism on the issue. As well as mass protests, performances, hashtag campaigns, community organising, and other actions, feminist activists across Latin America have been denouncing femicide by creating digital cartographies of the violence, including my own project mapping femicide in Uruguay ([femicidiouruguay.net](http://femicidiouruguay.net)).

In this short paper, I share an investigation where I put into dialogue affect and emotion theories, conceptualisations of femicide, and scholarship that reclaims quantitative and geographic methods for feminist research and activism, to propose that digital maps of femicide constitute *feminist affect amplifiers*: interactive digital artefacts through which data about cases of femicide –modulated through feminist knowledges, emotions, and affects– are recirculated in/to the world. This practice of creating feminist data visualisations can be understood as part of an affective politics oriented to generating change in personal and political responses to femicide. A politics hoping to end violence against women.

### **Femicide: a landscape**

*Femicide*, or *femicide*, names gender-related murders of women: the killing or otherwise causing the violent death of women where their gender plays a role, a form of violence that is a violation of women’s human rights (Lagarde y de los Ríos 2010). According to the UN, some countries have seen an increase in cases of femicide, despite an overall decrease in homicide rates worldwide (UN ECLAC 2019), and Latin America has been considered the most dangerous region for women (UNDP and UN Women 2017). Against this landscape, there have been increasingly visible feminist actions against this form of violence in the region. For example, the

#NiUnaMenos mass protests that have raged like a wildfire across the continent since 2015 (see Jay Friedman and Tabbush 2016; Revilla Blanco 2019), including actions that have combined “traditional forms of mobilization with those typical of data activism, such as self-organized data gathering” (Chenou and Cepeda-Másmela 2019, 397). In recent years, a series of maps, lists, and other digital records of femicide have emerged in Latin America (and other parts of the world), either as new initiatives or as continuation of previous efforts to monitor or quantify this form of violence. Activists in Argentina, Ecuador, Mexico, Uruguay, and several other countries have created works of this type, individually or collectively (see, for example, ActivistasXSL n.d.; Centro Interdisciplinario ‘Caminos’ n.d.; Colectivo de Geografía Crítica del Ecuador 2016; Femicidio.net n.d.; Lan, Prado, and Vera 2019; Madrigal 2016; Moody n.d.; Ramírez Ramírez n.d.; Salguero 2016; Sovereign Bodies Institute n.d.; Yang 2018). This includes *Femicidio Uruguay*, my own ongoing project geo-locating cases of femicide in Uruguay on a Google Map layer, which I have been taking forward since 2015 ([femicidiouruguay.net](http://femicidiouruguay.net)).

Paraphrasing Stengers with Ralet (1997, 216–17), it could be said a “Femicide event” is currently taking place in Latin America, as the category becomes further “inscribed in the political and ethical register,” efforts are made to “pose the problem clearly,” and arguments about the “type of experts recognized as legitimate spokespersons” articulate activists, scholars, the media, and law-makers. Femicide is one of several concepts and categories created and mobilized by feminist academics and activists with the political aim of recognizing and making visible the discrimination, oppression, inequality, and systematic violence against women that, in its most extreme form, culminates in death (Bernal Sarmiento et al. 2014, 13). Debates are still live amongst and between academics, activists and law-makers, around the term’s exact meanings and scope, its overlaps with *femicide* and other related categorical terms—for example, *femigenocide* (Segato 2019; 2006), *serial or systemic sexual femicide* (Monárrez Fragoso 2009; 2018), or *travesticide/transfemicide* (Bento 2014; Berkins 2015; Maffia 2016)—, and its translation to legal typologies (Toledo Vásquez 2009). Nevertheless, this “empowered term” (Bueno-Hansen 2010) has provided a collective frame for action, in particular it has provided a frame to make evident, and gather evidence against (Deus and González 2018, 12–13), this form of violence that is “terrorising women” (Fregoso and Bejarano 2010).

Part of a genealogy of marches, performances, hashtag campaigns, and other past and current feminist actions (digital and not) against gender-related violence, activist cartographic practices centred on femicide reclaim geographic information systems (GIS) for feminist research and activism (Kwan 2002) and integrate various aspects of feminist data visualisation practices (D’Ignazio and Klein 2016) to create digital artefacts, “imperfect tools” (Suárez Val n.d.; see also Alvarado García, Young, and Dombrowski 2017) mobilised to denounce and make visible femicide in the region (Goldsman 2018). The research presented here proposes an original theoretical approach to form a deeper understanding of this form of activism, wrought out from my own implication and in dialogue with other activist cartographers (Suárez Val 2017). In section below, I put forward the notion of *feminist affect amplifiers*, developed by exploring feminist maps of gender-related murders of women through theories of affect and emotions and

feminist literature on the use of quantitative and geographic methods. In closing, I outline some avenues for future research.

### **Feminist Affect Amplifiers**

In Uruguay, on 10 November 2014, the media reported the separated body and head of missing 15-year-old Yamila Rodríguez had been found buried amongst construction debris (Observador n.d.). Her sister's partner, who had been sexually abusing Yamila, had murdered her and discarded her body. Yet, during the days before and after Yamila was found, the media had endlessly scrutinised her behaviour, her clothes, her lifestyle. The day the news came was the last of a feminist meeting in Montevideo that had gathered over 400 participants. As the news pinged on our mobile phones at the closing assembly, sadness and outrage turned into spontaneous protest, and participants decided to head to Montevideo's main square, to make a noisy demonstration against femicide, denouncing the media's misogynist portrayal of women as provoking their own murders, social indifference, and government inaction (see Cotelo 2014). These street protests continued into 2015, after each gender-related murder, and the register of cases created by the group coordinating the protests, of which I was a member, became the basis for *Femicidio Uruguay*, first published as a map in November 2015.

After Yamila's case, I wrote about violence against women in Uruguay for *No te Olvides*, a Uruguayan magazine focused on human rights and memory, and described the feminist movement as "indignant, sad and fierce" (Suárez Val 2014). More recently, Argentinian journalist and activist Marta Dillon (2019), wrote, about the mobilisations for March 8 in Argentina: we are moved by desire, as we are also moved by rage. These statements make explicit some of the emotions orienting feminist towards/against violence against women (Ahmed 2006; Jaggar 1989; Lorde [1984] 2007), activist emotions that are transmuted (following Hochschild [1983] 2012) into online and offline actions, denouncing and protesting the indifference of society, the erroneous and sexist treatment of the issue in the media, and the inaction in the political sphere. The stark contrast between feminist effervescing with emotions and the indifferent and apathetic spheres of society, the media, and politics, reveals the emotional and affective struggles at play.

The "affective atmosphere" (Anderson 2009) around femicide emerges in the interaction of multiple discourses: media and political voices, on the one hand, and the voices of a transnational feminist counter-public on the other (see Fraser 1990; Rasmussen 2014). The political atmosphere around femicide in Uruguay at the time of this investigation (2016-17) could be described as apathetic—delays in debating bills, failures at the judicial and police level. As feminist campaigner Haydeé Gallego—who recorded cases of femicide in Uruguay between 2001-2014—exclaimed in one of our dialogues: They are so lukewarm here! (Gallego 2017). In contrast, the media atmosphere overflowed sensationalism, each case a hot story, as exemplified in Yamila's case. Although charged with different emotions (sensationalism and apathy), the atmospheres of politics, law and the media were attuned, at least, with regard to denial and indifference towards the *systemic* nature of violence for reasons of gender.

Describing the alteration of satellite images to remove atmospheric elements that obscure the land or to add data lost due to technical glitches, Schuppli (2013, 18) calls these atmospheric corrections “an act of technical subterfuge [...] not aimed at sabotaging history. On the contrary, their motivation is recovery and repair.” In the same way, as they dis/entangle various discourses to define criteria to collect, interpret, process, arrange, and circulate data on femicide for a specific geographic location, activists recover and repair the map, hoping to “correct” the predominant affective atmosphere, that it be “‘enhanced’, ‘transformed’, ‘intensified’, [or] ‘shaped’” (Anderson 2009, 80, following Böhme 2006). The resulting digital objects become memorials: each marker a public display of pain and anger over the loss. However, the purpose of this affective practice (Wetherell 2013) is not just to quantify femicide or erect memorials. Feminist activists understand the “enormous political potential” of public displays of grief bound with “outrage in the face of injustice and indeed of unbearable loss” (Butler 2009, 39). In creating maps of gender-related murders of women, feminist activists attempt to “leverage affect in order to create an emotional bond with a story or issue, or to engage and impress” (D’Ignazio and Klein 2016, sec. 3.5).

Maps of femicide can be read through Ash’s (2012) notion of *affective amplification*, which he conceives as a two-fold process of augmentation and clarification. On the one hand, these maps, attempt to clarify femicide, making it (more clearly) visible and showing each case as part of a systemic pattern. On the other hand, there is an attempt to augment and clarify affective and emotional responses to femicide, to press against the affective atmosphere surrounding the issue, a process that “is not an attempt simply to increase affect, but rather a matter of attempting to generate and modulate between affective states [...] intimately linked to the types of attention generated” (Ash 2012, 12). Thus, these digital objects can be conceived as *feminist affect amplifiers*: interactive visual artefacts through which data on cases of femicide –modulated through feminist emotions and affects and arranged through particular understandings of the category– are recirculated in/to the world to modulate attention and alter the atmosphere that surrounds femicide. Artefacts of an affective politics (Anderson 2006) that hopes to move the media, the political arena, and society as a whole from indifference to action, in order to provoke social change.

Have there been any changes? In response to the increased visibility of femicide promoted by feminist activism, the atmospheres of Uruguayan politics and media have altered slightly. For example, through the media monitoring carried out to make the map, I found that by 2016 the media had started taking their cue from feminist activists, with more news reports contextualising cases by referring to a total number and/or using the word *femicide*, a term that seems to have become more frequent since the introduction of a legal framework. Also in 2016, members of the Uruguayan parliament feminist caucus presented two bills to address the murders of women with a gender motivation: a proposal to classify *femicide* as an aggravating circumstance to homicide and a comprehensive law to guarantee women a life free of gender violence, approved in 2017 and 2018 respectively (Parlamento del Uruguay 2017; 2018). Nevertheless, the latter project was only approved with several “compromise” adjustments that were heavily criticized by human rights and feminist organisations (‘Comunicado por Ley

Integral que Garantice a las Mujeres una Vida Libre de Violencia de Género’ 2017), who even today continue to demand an adequate budget be allocated to implement the law.

Meanwhile, Uruguay still has one of the highest rates of femicide in the region. And as I write this paper, I continue to place on the map cases of women murdered by men who think women’s bodies as things to use and discard, to break and plunder (Ni Una Menos 2016, sec. III). There remains much to do.

### Future research

While the notion of feminist affect amplifiers provides a useful theoretical lens to examine maps and other feminist data visualisations (D’Ignazio and Klein 2016), understanding the political and affective effects and potentials of activist methodologies and practices that address femicide remains a clearly necessary next step for research. How do political affects reverberate (Kuntsman 2012) in/to the social and political spheres through maps and other digital visualizations of femicide data? What is their role within the Latin American feminist movement? How do they relate to the political and media spheres, and to the general public? What methodologies have emerged among Latin American feminist activists who create these digital objects? How can these be further developed to end violence against women? These questions motivate my current doctoral research, whose objectives are to weave together feminist activists and other “oppositional cyborgs” (Haraway 1991, 170) to investigate the forms and effects of cartographic practices in feminist activism on femicide; to locate this work in a broader field of activist cartography and critical cartography; and to specify what distinguishes *feminist* mapping approaches, in order to contribute to strengthening feminist strategies to end gender injustice and violence against women.

### Works Cited

- ActivistasXSL. n.d. ‘Yenchi’. Yenchi. ActivistasXSL Contra La Violencia de Género. Accessed 14 August 2019. <http://yenchi.activistasxsl.org.ve/>.
- Ahmed, Sara. 2006. ‘Introduction: Find Your Way’. In *Queer Phenomenology: Orientations, Objects, Others*, 1–24. Duke University Press.
- Alvarado García, Adriana, Alyson L. Young, and Lynn Dombrowski. 2017. ‘On Making Data Actionable: How Activists Use Imperfect Data to Foster Social Change for Human Rights Violations in Mexico’. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 1 (CSCW): 19:1-19.
- Anderson, Ben. 2006. ‘Becoming and Being Hopeful: Towards a Theory of Affect’. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 24 (5): 733–52.
- . 2009. ‘Affective Atmospheres’. *Emotion, Space and Society* 2 (2): 77–81.

- Ash, James. 2012. 'Attention, Videogames and the Retentional Economies of Affective Amplification'. *Theory, Culture & Society* 29 (6): 3–26.
- Bento, Berenice. 2014. 'Brasil: País do Transfeminicídio' [Brasil: Transfeminicide Country]. *Centro Latino-Americano em Sexualidade e Direitos Humanos*, 4 June 2014. [http://www.clam.org.br/uploads/arquivo/Transfeminicidio\\_Berenice\\_Bento.pdf](http://www.clam.org.br/uploads/arquivo/Transfeminicidio_Berenice_Bento.pdf).
- Berkins, Lohana. 2015. 'El travesticidio también es femicidio' [Travesticide is also femicide]. *Página 12*, 12 June 2015, sec. las12. <https://www.pagina12.com.ar/diario/suplementos/las12/13-9791-2015-06-12.html>.
- Bernal Sarmiento, Camilo, Miguel Lorente Acosta, Françoise Roth, and Margarita Zambrano. 2014. 'Modelo de protocolo latinoamericano de investigación de las muertes violentas de mujeres por razones de género (femicidio/feminicidio)' [Latin American protocol for investigating gender-related violent deaths of women (femicide/feminicide)]. ONU Mujeres; OACNUDH.
- Böhme, Gernot. 2006. 'Atmosphere as the Subject Matter of Architecture'. In *Herzog & de Meuron: Natural History*, edited by Philip Ursprung, 398–407. Thesis Eleven.
- Bueno-Hansen, Pascha. 2010. 'Feminicidio. Making the Most of an "Empowered Term"'. In *Terrorizing Women: Femicide in the Americas*, edited by Rosa-Linda Fregoso and Cynthia Bejarano. Durham; London: Duke University Press.
- Butler, Judith. 2009. *Frames of War: When Is Life Grievable?* London: Verso.
- Centro Interdisciplinario 'Caminos'. n.d. 'Quiénes eran...' [Who they were...]. Caminos. Accessed 15 August 2019. <http://www.caminos.org.uy/quieneseraninicial.htm>.
- Chenou, Jean-Marie, and Carolina Cepeda-Másmela. 2019. '#NiUnaMenos: Data Activism From the Global South'. *Television & New Media* 20 (4): 396–411.
- Colectivo de Geografía Crítica del Ecuador. 2016. 'Violencia Femicida Ecuador a 2016' [Femicidal Violence Ecuador 2016]. Google Maps. Ecuador. <https://www.google.com/maps/d/u/0/viewer?mid=1GJGbiCYkTZUS2ryoq3tCiW4txBs>
- 'Comunicado por Ley Integral que Garantice a las Mujeres una Vida Libre de Violencia de Género' [About the Comprehensive Law to Guarantee Women a Life Free of Gender Violence]. 2017. Organizaciones de la sociedad civil uruguaya. <http://www.cotidianomujer.org.uy/sitio/91-campanas/declaraciones/1726-comunicado-po-r-ley-integral-que-garantice-a-las-mujeres-una-vida-libre-de-violencia-de-genero>.
- Cotelo, Emiliano. 2014. 'Helena Suárez (Cotidiano Mujer): Los Medios Deben Dejar de Informar Sucesos de Violencia Doméstica Como Casos Aislados' [The Media Must Stop Reporting Domestic Violence Events as Isolated Cases]. *Espectador.Com*.

<http://www.espectador.com/sociedad/303760/helena-suarez-cotidiano-mujer-los-medios-deben-dejar-de-informar-sucesos-de-violencia-domestica-como-casos-aislados>.

- Deus, Alicia, and Diana González. 2018. 'Análisis de Legislación Sobre Femicidio/Feminicidio En América Latina y El Caribe e Insumos Para Una Ley Modelo' [Analysis of Femicide/Feminicide Legislation in Latin America and the Caribbean and Inputs for a Model Law]. MESECVI; ONU Mujeres.  
<http://www2.unwomen.org/-/media/field%20office%20americas/documentos/publicaciones/2018/12/informe%20analisis%20de%20leyes%20de%20femicidio%20en%20alc%20ec%203%202018compressed.pdf?la=es&vs=441>.
- D'Ignazio, Catherine, and Lauren Klein. 2016. 'Feminist Data Visualization'. In *IEEE VIS Conference 2016*. Baltimore.
- Dillon, Marta. 2019. 'Paramos Porque Deseamos' [We strike because we desire]. *Página 12*, 8 March 2019. <https://www.pagina12.com.ar/179439-paramos-porque-deseamos>.
- Feminicidio.net. n.d. 'Listado de feminicidios y otros asesinatos de mujeres en 2017' [List of feminicides and other murders of women]. Informes - Feminicidio.Net. Accessed 15 August 2019. <https://informes.feminicidio.net/>.
- Fraser, Nancy. 1990. 'Rethinking the Public Sphere: A Contribution to the Critique of Actually Existing Democracy'. *Social Text*, no. 25/26: 56–80.
- Fregoso, Rosa-Linda, and Cynthia Bejarano. 2010. *Terrorizing Women: Feminicide in the Americas*. Durham; London: Duke University Press.
- Gallego, Haydée. 2017 Interview by Helena Suárez Val. Video.
- Goldsmán, Florencia. 2018. 'Datos y mapeo de feminicidios: visualizar y cuestionar' [Feminicide data and mapping: visualising and questioning]. *Conversaciones Feministas. GenderIT.org* (blog). 26 November 2018.  
<https://www.genderit.org/es/feminist-talk/datos-y-mapeo-de-feminicidios-visualizar-y-cuestionar>.
- Haraway, Donna Jeanne. 1991. *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*. New York: Routledge.
- Hochschild, Arlie R. (1983) 2012. *The Managed Heart: Commercialization of Human Feeling*. 3rd ed. University of California Press.
- Jaggar, Alison M. 1989. 'Love and Knowledge: Emotion in Feminist Epistemology'. *Inquiry* 32 (2): 151–176.

- Jay Friedman, Elisabeth, and Constanza Tabbush. 2016. '#NiUnaMenos: Not One Woman Less, Not One More Death!' *NACLA*, 11 January 2016.  
<http://nacla.org/news/2016/11/01/niunamenos-not-one-woman-less-not-one-more-death>.
- Kuntsman, Adi. 2012. 'Introduction: Affective Fabrics of Digital Cultures'. In *Digital Cultures and the Politics of Emotion: Feelings, Affect and Technological Change*, edited by Athina Karatzogianni and Adi Kuntsman, 1–17. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Kwan, Mei-Po. 2002. 'Feminist Visualization: Re-Envisioning GIS as a Method in Feminist Geographic Research'. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 92 (4): 645–61.
- Lagarde y de los Ríos, Marcela. 2010. 'Preface: Feminist Keys for Understanding Femicide: Theoretical, Political and Legal Construction'. In *Terrorizing Women: Femicide in the Americas*, edited by Rosa-Linda Fregoso and Cynthia Bejarano. Durham; London: Duke University Press.
- Lan, Diana, Sabina Prado, and Sonia Vera. 2019. 'Mapeo de los Espacios del Miedo de las mujeres en Tandil 8M 2018' [Mapping Spaces of Fear for women in Tandil 8M 2018]. Centro de Investigaciones Geográficas CIG; Instituto de Geografía, Historia y Ciencias Sociales IGEHCS.
- Lorde, Audre. (1984) 2007. 'The Uses of Anger: Women Responding to Racism'. In *Sister Outsider*, 124–33. The Crossing Press Feminist Series. Berkley: Crossing Press.
- Madrigal, Sonia. 2016. 'VIOLENCIA FEMINICIDA' [Femicidal Violence]. México.  
<https://www.google.com/maps/d/viewer?mid=1cOARpWISYFHyoGgRLM3Hm5xvgjQ>.
- Maffia, Diana H. 2016. 'Crímenes de Género: Del Femicidio al Travesticidio / Transfemicidio' [Gender crimes: from femicide to travesticide/transfemicide]. presented at the Jornada de capacitación sobre "Crímenes de género: del femicidio al travesticidio / transfemicidio", Oficina de la Mujer de la Corte Suprema de Justicia de la Nación, Buenos Aires, Argentina, November 30. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b936aFQpWvg>.
- Monárrez Fragoso, Julia E. 2009. *Trama de Una Injusticia : Femicidio Sexual Sistémico En Ciudad Juárez* [Plot of an injustice: systemic sexual femicide in Ciudad Juárez]. México: Colegio de la Frontera Norte.
- . 2018. 'Femicide: Impunity for the Perpetrators and Injustice for the Victims'. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Criminology and the Global South*, edited by Kerry Carrington, Russell Hogg, John Scott, and Máximo Sozzo, 913–29. Cham: Springer International Publishing.

- Moody, Sherele. n.d. 'AUSTRALIAN FEMICIDE & CHILD DEATH MAP'. Google Maps. Australia. Accessed 15 August 2019.  
[https://www.google.com.au/maps/d/viewer?mid=1WEjK9o99Qm734bKyizsOY\\_4XPDUgvnp2](https://www.google.com.au/maps/d/viewer?mid=1WEjK9o99Qm734bKyizsOY_4XPDUgvnp2).
- Ni Una Menos. 2016. 'Nosotras Paramos' [We strike]. Facebook Page. 20 October 2016.  
<https://www.facebook.com/notes/ni-una-menos/nosotras-paramos/544964935694693>.
- Observador, El. n.d. 'El cuerpo encontrado en Maldonado es el de Yamila Rodríguez' [The body in Maldonado was that of Yamila Rodríguez]. El Observador. Accessed 15 August 2019.  
<https://www.observador.com.uy/nota/el-cuerpo-encontrado-en-maldonado-es-el-de-yamila-rodriguez-2014111022220>.
- Parlamento del Uruguay. 2017. 'Actos de discriminación y femicidio' [Acts of discrimination and femicide]. Ley 19538. Parlamento del Uruguay.  
<https://parlamento.gub.uy/documentosyleyes/leyes/ley/19538>.
- . 2018. 'Violencia Hacia Las Mujeres Basada En Género' [Gender-based violence against women]. Ley 19580. Parlamento del Uruguay.  
<https://parlamento.gub.uy/documentosyleyes/leyes/ley/19580>.
- Ramírez Ramírez, Ivonne. n.d. 'Ellas Tienen Nombre' [They Have Names]. Accessed 15 August 2019. <http://www.ellastienennombre.org/mapa-1.html>.
- Rasmussen, Terje. 2014. 'Internet and the Political Public Sphere'. *Sociology Compass* 8 (12): 1315–29.
- Revilla Blanco, Marisa. 2019. 'Del ¡Ni una más! al #NiUnaMenos: movimientos de mujeres y feminismos en América Latina' [From Not One More! to #NotOneLess: women's movements and feminisms in Latin America]. *Política y Sociedad* 56 (1): 47–67.
- Salguero, María. 2016. 'Los feminicidios en México (2010-)'. CrowdMap. México: Ushahidi.  
<https://femicidiosmx.crowdmap.com/main>.
- Schuppli, Susan. 2013. 'Atmospheric Correction'. In *On the Verge of Photography: Imaging Beyond Representation.*, edited by Daniel Rubinstein, Johnny Golding, and Andrew Fisher, 16–32. Birmingham: Birmingham Article Press.
- Segato, Rita Laura. 2006. *Qué es un feminicidio: notas para un debate emergente* [What is a femicide: notes for an emergent debate]. Série Antropologia 401. Brasília: Departamento de Antropologia, Universidade de Brasília.

- . 2019. presentation at the Panel de expertas de OEA sobre los asesinatos de mujeres y niñas por razones de género, March 15.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K0B1BFc03pI>.
- Sovereign Bodies Institute. n.d. ‘MMIWG2 Database (Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women, Girls, and Two Spirit People)’. Sovereign Bodies Institute. Accessed 10 June 2019. <https://www.sovereign-bodies.org/mmiw-database>.
- Stengers, Isabelle, and Olivier Ralet. 1997. ‘Drugs: Ethical Choice or Moral Consensus’. In *Power and Invention: Situating Science*, edited by Isabelle Stengers, translated by Paul Bains, 215–32. Theory out of Bounds, v. 10. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Suárez Val, Helena. 2014. ‘Indignadas, Tristes, Feroces’ [Indignant, Sad, Fierce]. *No Te Olvides*, 2014. <http://ladelentes.blogspot.co.uk/2014/12/indignadas-tristes-feroces.html>.
- . 2017. ‘Affect Amplifiers: Femicide, Feminist Activists and the Politics of Counting and Mapping Gender-Related Murders of Women’. MA Dissertation (unpublished), UK: Goldsmiths, University of London.
- . n.d. ‘Feminicidio Uruguay’. *Feminicidio Uruguay*. Accessed 15 August 2019. <http://femicidiouruguay.net/>.
- Toledo Vásquez, Patsilí. 2009. *Feminicidio: consultoría para la Oficina en México del Alto Comisionado de las Naciones Unidas para los Derechos Humanos*. México: OHCHR.
- UN ECLAC. 2019. ‘International Women’s Day 2019’. Press release.  
<https://www.cepal.org/en/articles/2019-international-womens-day-2019>.
- UNDP, and UN Women. 2017. ‘Nearly All Countries in Latin America and the Caribbean Have Policies to Curb Violence against Women, but Region Is Still the Most Violent’. Press release. UNDP and UN Women.  
<http://www.latinamerica.undp.org/content/rblac/en/home/presscenter/pressreleases/2017/11/22/pese-a-pol-ticas-para-erradicar-violencia-contra-las-mujeres-am-rica-latina-y-el-caribe-es-la-regi-n-m-s-violenta-pnud-onu-mujeres.html>.
- Wetherell, Margaret. 2013. ‘Feeling Rules, Atmospheres and Affective Practice: Some Reflections on the Analysis of Emotional Episodes’. In *Privilege, Agency and Affect: Understanding the Production and Effects of Action*, edited by Claire Maxwell and Peter Aggleton, 221–39. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Yang, Selene. 2018. ‘Femicidios En Nicaragua de 2011 - 2018’. Carto. Nicaragua.  
<https://seleneyang.carto.com/builder/14f3a6a1-03ce-47df-b3e7-bf1bd7b36298/embed>.